

UNITED STA _S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 14.281A-A 09/109,392 07/02/98 EGGERT **EXAMINER** QM11/1211 MEISLIN, D EMRICH & DITHMAR SUITE 3000 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 3723 CHICAGO IL 60606

DATE MAILED:

12/11/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	109392	
Omec Action Gammary	Examiner #	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet l	beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Response		2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaute to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statutult, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time S from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 		
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
©(aim(s) / - 2 4		is/are rejected
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		•
Application Papers		requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Raviow PTO-948	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objecte	• •	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th ☐ received. 		
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International 		
*Certified copies not received:		·
Attachment(s)	_	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other
1	Action Summary	
Onice i	AUGUI Guilliai y	

Application/Control Number: 09/109,392 Page 1

Art Unit: 3723

1. The reissue oath or declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to particularly specify the errors and/or how the errors relied upon arose or occurred as required under 37 CFR 1.175(a)(5). Included are inadvertent errors in conduct, i.e., actions taken by the applicant, the attorney or others, before the original patent issued, which are alleged to be the cause of the actual errors in the patent. This includes how and when the errors in conduct arose or occurred, as well as how and when these errors were discovered. Applicant's attention is directed to Hewlett - Packard v. Bausch & Lomb, 11 USPQ2d 1750, 1758 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

- 2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought. The added material which is not supported by the prior patent is as follows: "continuous, closed, non-reentrant outer periphery". The original disclosure does not support such a limitation or a definition thereof.
- 3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the reissue declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3723

- 5. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Meislin at (703) 308-3671.

D. S. Meislin Primary Examiner Group 3720, Art Unit 3723

December 7, 1998